

# 2020-08-19 Meeting notes

[back to](#)

## Date

19 Aug 2020

## Attendees

- [Serena Koh](#)
- [Ren Yuh Kay](#)
- [Miriam Goldby](#)
- [Tahseen Ahmad Khan](#)
- [Meera KUMAR](#)
- [Nis Jespersen](#)
- [Bill Luddy](#)
- [David Saive](#)
- [Ian Watt](#)
- [Lance THOMPSON](#)
- [Simone Lamont-Black](#)
- [Luca Castellani](#)
- [Gunnar COLLIN](#)
- [Sue Probert](#)
- [Gadi BENMOSHE](#)
- [Tim ZHANG](#)

## Goals

- • Gathering contributors for MLETR draft from UN/CEFACT Experts.

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
35min	ToC	<a href="#">Ren Yuh Kay</a> , <a href="#">David Saive</a> , <a href="#">Miriam Goldby</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• David confirmed Compliant programming as achieving compliance with MLETR in an agile software development process (eg. putting the legal requirements as something developers need to consider when developing the software).</li><li>• As it is not considered as a technical model, it will be removed from the Technical model section.</li><li>• Miriam clarified for 4b.v. (eg. HVR can be satisfied through seaway bill besides Bill of Lading, and that could go beyond scope of white paper. It is agreed to cover the topic in another suitable section.</li><li>• Luca suggest mention minimal on Rotterdam Rules to avoid potential political issues.</li><li>• On providing clarification for terms used: 'transferrable' and 'negotiable', Miriam clarified this would depend on domestic laws and not a discussion we need to have for the purpose of compliant with the Model law.</li><li>• David suggest to have eUCP in mind when using electronic documents of title.</li><li>• Miriam suggest for to lay the Hague/ Hague Visby Rules, Rotterdam rules at the beginning when we are laying down the scope of the whitepaper, main points:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ Rotterdam Rules, might need to research, but if you satisfy the requirements of the Model law, you are unlikely to be found not to have satisfy the requirements of the Rotterdam Rules.</li><li>◦ Hague /Hague Visby Rules, provide an explanation they do contemplate on the issue of Bill of Lading in order to apply and the Model law makes the digital alternative functional equivalent of the Bill of Lading and it can be argued that if you have a digital alternative that is complied with Model law, then you essentially have a Bill of Lading.</li></ul></li></ul>
15min	Contributors		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Simone will contribute for Chapter 3.</li><li>• Miriam will contribute for Chapter 4 (review 4a, could contribute some of 4b).</li><li>• Gunnar will contribute for Chapter 4a.</li><li>• Raymond will contribute for Chapter 4a.</li><li>• Sue will be able to review the draft.</li></ul>

10min	Other matters		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Miriam had enquired on the timeline.</li> <li>• Kay replied as per project plan, the draft submission to the bureau, though on paper is Dec, we are targeting mid-Nov as a buffer.</li> <li>• Miriam commented she might be able to write in late Oct/early Nov, pending her teaching timetable.</li> <li>• Suggestion to poll Essdocs, Bolero as additional contributors.</li> <li>• Lance reminded that a whitepaper within UN/CEFACT is 20 pages maximum. Annex will not be accounted in the 20-page limit. Reading materials will usually be reference as a text in the footnote when it is mentioned in the text.</li> <li>• Regarding the actual pages for each chapter, it is agreed to start off the content without any limit and trim from there.</li> <li>• Kay will tidy up the ToC with contributors and share on CUE.</li> </ul>
-------	---------------	--	---

## Action items

- ☐ Updated ToC with contributors: [MLETR draft Outline Combined Comments\\_MLETR ToC v03\\_current](#)
- ☐ Kay to write to Essdocs, Bolero, P&I on possible content contribution.
- ☐ Next conference call, in 3 weeks' time (Week of 7-Sep-2020), to progress of MLETR draft ToC.