RECOMMENDATION 14 REVISION WORKING GROUP

DECEMBER CONFERENCE CALL 11, 13, 17, 18, 19 DECEMBER 2012

Attendance

Present:

Lance THOMPSON, Conex (FR)

Johan PONTEN, Kommerskollegium (SE)

Josephine BAIAMONTE, CBP (US)

Andre CACCIA, Hub2Hub (IT)

Ervin CANO, Chamber of Commerce (GT)

Luca CASTELLANI, UNCITRAL (IT)

Eva CHAN, dJava Factory (MY)

Michael COFFEE, US State Dept (US)

Moudrick DADASHOV, SSC (LT)

Richard FIELD, lawyer (US)

Gérard GALLER, EU Commission (EU)

Nancy HART, Vilden (US)

Dr. Young Kon LEE, NIPA (KR)

Chema LOPEZ, Isigma (ES)

Bill LUDDY, UNCITRAL (US)

Anna NORDEN, Trustweaver (SE)

Chantal PRALIAUD, Imprimerie Nationale (FR)

Pandey PRIANCEU, NIC (IN)

P RAMACHANDRAN, NIC (IN)

Jari SALO, TIEKE (FI)

Thomas SMEDINGHOFF, Edwards Wildman Palmer

LLP (US)

Marco SORGETTI, FIATA (CH)

Tahir SYED, IATA (CH)

Margo TANK, Buckley Sandler (US)

Anders TORNQVIST, Comfact (SE)

Christiaan VAN DER VALK, Trustweaver (SE)

Excused absents:

Gordon CRAGGE, UN/CEFACT (GB)

Joseph COMIZIO, Buckley Sandler (US)

Peter KUSTOR, Federal Chancellery (AT)

Klaus-Dieter NAUJOK, ISO (US)

Lars-Gunnar NILSSON, Swedish Customs

(SE)

Sonia PARK, NIPA (KR)

SP SAHU, WCO (WCO)

Carlo SALOMONE, AITI-EACI (IT)

Johan STOOPEN, Dutch Customs (NL)

Gilles TAIB, Imprimerie Nationale (FR)

Other absents:

Kenneth BENGTSSON, Alfa Lab (DK)

Richard CHRESTA, GS1 (CH)

Mouhamed DOUF, Gainde (SN)

Raffaella MIGLIORINI, Ministry of

Economy (IT)

Ken MOYLE, Docusign (US)

Shigetaka NISHIO, JastPro (JP)

Alexander SAZONOV, National

Certification Authority (CIS)

Dominique VANKEMMEL, Normafret

France (FR)

General summary – overview

The outlines of each individual chapter was agreed upon. From this a consolidated outline has been proposed. All experts are asked to take a look at this and comment if necessary. Some of the major points that were brought up:

- "Levels of assurance" should be considered like a matrix and in relation to risk assessment.
- Input from ISO work would be appreciated in order to make reference to other international standards.
- Question to be answered: Should privacy aspects of the data be addressed in the recommendation?
- Authentication, following UNCITRAL terminology, was deemed out of scope of the definition and function of signature.
- Will we address 'self-asserted identity'? (I believe this will be an aspect of one or more typology of electronic method... but do we want/need to address this elsewhere?)
- The needs of single-window environments should be addressed (access into the S.W.). Should try to avoid constringent process.

• In chapter 5, will probably need to be more specific than just B2B, B2G, etc. (national environment, industry environment, regional environment, etc.). But going away from 'documents' is best (should talk of processes).

House-keeping notes

(points that may require your action in red below)

Thanks to Kommerskollegium, TIEKE and Conex for hosting conference calls on their facilities.

Registration as UN/CEFACT expert:

Reminder: Each Rec14 expert should be registered on the UN/CEFACT website.

https://webapps.unog.ch/cefact_part_reg

UN/CEFACT Geneva Forum: April 15 to 19, 2013

Reminder: Registration to the Geneva Forum is open and free for all UN/CEFACT Experts.

- Rec14 meetings will be on the Tuesday, April 16th.
- http://www.unece.org/index.php?id=30903

Update on the Confluence Website

Reminder: All relevant documents on Recommendation 14 are on the UNECE Confluence website:

• http://www1.unece.org/cefact/platform/display/CNP/Revision+ofRecommendation+14%2C+
Authentication+of+Trade+Documents+by+Means+other+than+Signature

Face-to-face meeting

No feedback was received, so we shall continue with conference calls and plan the next Face-to-face meeting in Geneva during the UN/CEFACT Forum.

Annex1 (Legally Enabling Environment) template

This model will be sent out over the next few weeks to various government agencies around the world in order to request submissions.

→ Should we limit this to only official transactions (B2G, G2G, and official documents [documents that can have an official use] of B2B transaction)? To be discussed... (probably difficult to draw the line between what B2B transactions would be out of scope and probably futile to try since submissions will most probably be for the 'official transactions'...

WCO Recommendation on accompanying documents

No feedback was received.