

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

UNITED NATIONS
CENTRE FOR TRADE FACILITATION AND ELECTRONIC BUSINESS
(UN/CEFACT)

INTERNATIONAL TRADE PROCEDURES PROGRAMME DEVELOPMENT AREA
INTERNATIONAL TRADE PROCEDURES DOMAIN

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION

PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP IN TRADE FACILITATION

SOURCE: PPP-TF Project Team
ACTION: Nearing final draft
STATUS: Draft v0.10

50 Table des matières

51	I. Recommendation 00: Public Private Partnership in Trade Facilitation	4
52	Introduction	4
53	Purpose and scope.....	4
54	Benefits.....	4
55	International standards	5
56	Recommendation	5
57	II. Guidelines to Recommendation 00	6
58	Public Private Partnership in Trade Facilitation.....	6
59	A. Introduction.....	6
60	A.1. Definitions of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP).....	6
61	A.2 Definition of Trade Facilitation (TF)	7
62	A.3. Main types of PPP project	7
63	B. Generic design and benefits of choosing PPP for Trade Facilitation project	9
64	B.1. PPP in Single Window	10
65	B.2. PPP in trade and logistics corridors	11
66	B.3. PPP in ports.....	11
67	B.4. Coordinated Border Management.....	11
68	C. Risks to be considered with PPPs in TF	16
69	C.1. Value for money assessment	17
70	C.2 Return on investment	18
71	C.3. Insufficient funds	18
72	C.4. Contract length	19
73	C.5 Tender process.....	19
74	C.6. Barriers to trade.....	19
75	C.7. Cooperation of all relative parties	20
76	C.8. Public Perceptions.	20
77	C.9. Protection of commercially or otherwise sensitive information	20
78	C.10. Risks in ICT PPPs.....	21
79	C.11. Legal consideration	22
80	D. Feasibility Study.....	12
81	D.1. Economic assessment.....	12
82	D.2. Affordability.....	15
83	D.3. Transparency	15

84	D.4. Good governance	15
85	E. Monitoring and Evaluation Plan.....	22
86	Annex 1: PPP in TF – Key characteristics.....	24
87	A. Institutional	24
88	B. ICT	25
89	C. Infrastructure.....	27
90	Annex 2: Value for money (VFM) factors.....	29
91	Annex 3: Risks	30
92	Annex 4: Governance process and performance process	32
93	Annex 4: Single Window services	34
94	Special legal and contractual clauses.....	Erreur ! Signet non défini.
95		
96		

97 **I. RECOMMENDATION 00: PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP** 98 **IN TRADE FACILITATION**

99 **INTRODUCTION**

100 A large number of initiatives are today made as Public-Private Partnerships (PPP). These
101 initiatives allow the public sector to benefit from private sector funding and knowledge while
102 allowing the private sector to find a financial interest in such cooperation. Traditionally used
103 for infrastructure development, PPPs can also extend to trade facilitation measures as well. A
104 good deal of guidance has been devoted to PPPs for infrastructure development (hospitals, toll
105 roads, energy, etc.), but there has as yet been no substantive work put together on PPP in the
106 domain of Trade Facilitation. This recommendation aims to contribute to filling this gap.

107 **PURPOSE AND SCOPE**

108 PPP is one solution for financing and implementing public projects amongst many. CEFACT
109 does not necessarily recommend PPP over other financing methods but given its ability to
110 fund otherwise unaffordable projects, potential efficiency, downsides and frequency, this
111 recommendation and its guidelines aim at highlighting best practice of the use, especially in
112 the context of the WTO agreement and following implementation of measures. There are
113 examples of poor practice that should be avoided and these are also addressed.

114 The aim of trade facilitation is to simplify, harmonize and standardize international trade.
115 There are a number of areas within trade facilitation where PPPs are appropriate and could be
116 beneficial in achieving these aims. These can include a Single Window, a National Trade
117 Facilitation Body, port communities, trade corridors, coordinated border management,
118 infrastructure such as ports, etc.

119 **BENEFITS**

120 On the assumption that best practice is being followed, there are potentially a number of
121 advantages that might arise by providing a service under a PPP contract in TF if this form of
122 contracting and/or financing is chosen.

123 As with any trade facilitation measure, the infrastructure and service provision can be
124 accelerated. PPPs can bring stakeholders together to coordinate, harmonize and standardize
125 processes in international trade in a context of an organized free market to compete between
126 private and public companies that could even attract foreign investments.

127 Trade facilitation can also contribute to cost reduction in international trade. This cost
128 reduction could come direct or indirectly by reducing administrative procedures, reducing the
129 clearance time, increasing transparency and reducing corruption, and accelerate economic
130 development and revenue opportunities.

131 There are also significant potential benefits that can be driven by PPP. These advantages
132 include having access to the skills and resources of the private sector, increasing the potential
133 for more streamlined and cost effective processes and service delivery mechanisms,
134 increasing access to investment which in turn enables business change to be incorporated in
135 the service delivery contract and providing more flexibility with regard to structure and
136 business change.

137 **INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS**

138 The United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) has a division specialized in
139 Public-Private Partnerships for Foreign and Domestic Investments, under the Economic
140 Cooperation and Integration Division (ECI). This section of the UNECE has a wealth of
141 resources on best practices and actual implementations, which can help any implementer in
142 their choice of PPP. These resources are usually centered on infrastructure PPPs; the current
143 recommendation aims to provide a focus on trade facilitation projects using PPPs.

144 The PPP Alliance of the UNECE was established in 2001 to improve the awareness, capacity
145 and skills of the public sector in developing successful PPPs in Europe. To this end, the
146 Alliance prepares guidelines on best practices in PPPs, as well as preparing other PPP-related
147 educational and training materials, and sponsoring PPP conferences and workshops.

148 UNCITRAL has also been working on guidance concerning PPP implementation and the
149 procurement process. The World Bank, the OECD and the UN Convention against corruption
150 also have a number of contributions to good governance in PPP implementation.

151 **RECOMMENDATION**

152 The United Nations Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business (UN/CEFACT) at
153 its XXth Plenary session in XX in Geneva recommends to governments and those involved in
154 international trade to actively consider implementing trade facilitation through Public-Private
155 Partnership as one possibility of financing and developing trade facilitation projects. If this
156 form of financing and/or contracting is retained, the following considerations should be taken:

- 157 1. Analysing the potential benefits that a Public-Private Partnership can bring to
158 progressing projects that will benefit from the application of private sector know how
159 or investment or is otherwise unaffordable.
- 160 2. Ensuring the procurement process is undertaken in a transparent manner, that delivers
161 affordable and value for money services, within an effective and robust governance
162 structure.
- 163 3. Ensuring the contractual mechanisms are in place to minimize behavior that
164 effectively lead to an increase rather than a reduction in the barriers to trade.
- 165 4. Considering common risks in PPPs that might undermine the desired outcome of trade
166 facilitation.

167

167

168 **II. GUIDELINES TO RECOMMENDATION 00** 169 **PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP IN TRADE FACILITATION**

170 **A. INTRODUCTION**

171 Increasingly, governments are turning to the private sector for the financing, designing,
172 construction, and operation of core governmental services from infrastructure projects to
173 information and communication technology (ICT). PPP is just one among many other ways
174 that the public sector may decide to provide such a service involving the facilitation of trade,
175 especially under budgetary constraints. These guidelines aim to provide a better
176 understanding of Public- Private Partnership (PPP) in Trade Facilitation (TF).

177 Implementation of PPPs in TF successfully will increasingly involve the quality of the
178 services provided, reducing costs, increasing efficiency, reducing disputes among partners,
179 and eliminating corruption. For all these reasons, it is important to create mechanisms to
180 reduce the asymmetry of information among partners and tools to monitor PPP projects.
181 Disclosure of information has to be standard practice being undertaken as a matter of
182 course, in which information is accessible without specific active request.

183 These guidelines further seek to outline some of the more common risks, which might
184 undermine the overall objective of trade facilitation for which a public sector body might have
185 opted for a PPP model.

186 The tender procedure will be one of the key milestones of a PPP; this must be open, fair,
187 equal, and transparent to ensure the efficiency throughout all its stages to select the private
188 partner. These stages include tender preparation, bid preparation, bid submission, bid
189 evaluation, and tender award. The national legal framework will play a large role in this
190 procedure; care should be taken since often there is no clear definition of the boundaries and
191 scope applicable to PPPs which might in turn threaten the contract validity.

192 **A.1. Definitions of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)**

193 There is not a global consensus in terminology, scope and content about PPP. Legal
194 frameworks, if any, vary enormously from country to country. Additionally, there is a wide
195 variety of business models in PPP which make it more difficult to identify them.

196 The current guideline bases its definition largely upon the UNECE “Guidebook on Promoting
197 Good Governance in Public-Private Partnerships” of 2008.¹ A PPP will have the following
198 characteristics:

- 199 • A public service which is financed in part or in whole through private sector
200 contribution.
- 201 • A procurement process to allow the public sector to choose the private sector partner
202 resulting in a contract between the public and private sectors and in which the risks are
203 distributed; such a procurement process needs to be in line with national law and
204 international agreements.

¹ Page 1 and following of "Guidebook on Promoting Good Governance in Public-Private Partnerships" UNECE, 2008. Available as of March 2015 at: <http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/ceci/publications/ppp.pdf>

205 • The private sector will find a return on investment if it is included in the contract either
206 during the operational phase of such a project or through fixed remuneration from the
207 public sector.

208 This definition of PPP does not seek to encompass the now widely used alternative types of
209 PPP defined by USAID as Institutional or Developmental PPPs. This is addressed below. It is
210 important for practitioners to understand the differences in order to decide if or how to engage.

211 A.2 Definition of Trade Facilitation (TF)²

212 Trade facilitation is defined as the simplification, standardization and harmonization of
213 procedures and associated information flows required to move goods and provide related
214 services from seller to buyer and to make payments.

215 The fundamental purpose of trade facilitation is to simplify the trading process whether
216 domestic or international. To achieve this objective trade facilitation aims at transparency on
217 all commercial and regulatory rules concerning trade procedures in order to allow the trading
218 community to prepare and comply. UN/CEFACT aims to contribute to a comprehensive set of
219 efficient and effective business processes, as well as optimizing the level of government
220 control and oversight so that these are consistent with the costs and risks involved.

221 Trade facilitation activities (especially in relation to the application of electronic business) can
222 be broadly divided into three categories; simplification, harmonization and standardization:

- 223 • Simplification is the streamlining trade procedures by removing redundant
224 requirements and activities, and reducing the cost and burdens in administering the
225 trade transaction.
- 226 • Harmonization is the means of aligning or rationalizing the information flows that
227 accompany the movement of goods or services in the domestic marketplace, or in
228 international transit especially at national borders.
- 229 • Standardization is the means for ensuring required information is described,
230 understood and applied in a consistent manner¹. Many international standards
231 development organizations, consortia and communities have developed standards
232 concerning the description, definition, use and transfer of information related to
233 international trade.

234 A.3. Main Categories of PPP project

235 Public-Private Partnerships may involve three sectors: the public sector (government agencies,
236 for example), the private sector (commercial companies, for example) and what is often
237 referred to as the third sector. This third sector typically involves not-for-profit organizations
238 which might be in the form of non-governmental organizations (NGOs), foundations or
239 company social responsibility programs; these third sector actors do not necessarily seek a
240 return on investment.

241 Three broad categories of PPPs can be identified: institutional (developmental), contractual
242 (commercial), and blended (triangular/hybrid). These three categories of PPPs will vary not
243 only in the type of partners involved, but also, in the various characteristics of the PPP itself.

² Page 5 of "A Strategic Framework for UN/CEFACT Activities" UN/CEFACT, December 2014. Document number ECE/TRADE/C/CEFACT/2015/7.

244 Institutional PPPs will involve joint funding where both the public sector and the other partner
245 (private sector or third sector) co-fund a project. Each party inputs funds and knowhow, but
246 typically, they employ a third party to administer/distribute funds or to deliver some kind of
247 developmental project, such as training and know how. The parties agree to share risks and to
248 provide funding although there is no expectation of party will work together provide agree
249 not only to share the delivered service and any generated revenues. This type of PPP does not
250 necessarily have a contract and as such are sometimes not considered a PPP.

251 A blended PPP project can also be called a hybrid PPP or a triangular PPP. These projects
252 will differentiate from the standard Contractual PPPs because the project is seen as not being
253 commercially viable because insufficient returns will be generated. A third sector
254 organization is therefore required to provide financial or other resources. For this reason, in
255 order to provide what might be considered as a vital economic growth enabler, usually third
256 sector actors (NGOs, foundations...) will partner with the private sector. The third sector
257 provides its support in a number of different ways including acting as a loan guarantee in
258 order to underwrite a loan, providing direct budgetary support, or provide services such as
259 running part of the facility or training of staff.

260 An example of a hybrid PPP project in TF is a dry port, where the private sector may be
261 finding difficulties in achieving a commercial return. Therefore, it is necessary to find a donor
262 to support the PPP project, which objectives add feasibility to the project. In this example, the
263 donor either would not be seeking any return for their investment, or a low return at most,
264 which allows to develop a feasible PPP. Then, the donor will be taking a more strategic view
265 regarding the benefits of the dry port bring for society as a whole, rather than expecting to
266 make a direct financial return on the project.

267 Contractual PPPs are the most widely spread and the principle subject of these guidelines.
268 They can be further broken down into subcategories such as infrastructure PPPs, information
269 and technology PPPs or services PPPs. Contractual PPP in Trade Facilitation will normally be
270 on a DBTO model. Design Build Transfer and Operate (DBTO) means that the project is
271 designed and built by the private sector partner, then ownership is passed to the public sector
272 partner; the operation is then either performed by the public sector actor or contracted out to a
273 private sector actor. Other models can exist; these are detailed in the UNECE document on
274 "Guidebook on Promoting Good Governance in Public-Private Partnership" of 2008.

275 Infrastructure PPPs have a significant underlying asset that is constructed or renovated and
276 then maintained as part of a service contract. Examples would include significant border
277 control buildings, roadways and dry ports. Trade facilitation infrastructure projects using PPP
278 can include buildings, roads, ports and dry ports. They are typically longer term contracts of
279 up to 20 or 30 years and could be even longer for roadways or bridge projects. Typically, the
280 service provider will earn their return on investment through the fees related to the use of the
281 infrastructure; these are not generated during the design and build phase.

282 Information, communication and technology (ICT) PPPs differ from other PPPs in the length
283 of contracts: intrinsic characteristics of technologies must be taken into consideration. Given
284 the constant and rapid change in technology, private sector partners will be very reluctant to
285 take on the contractual risk further than the life cycle of the ICT deliverable which may not
286 exceed five or ten years. Also, given the complexity of technology and the need to integrate
287 with other systems, it will be necessary to clearly indicate in the procurement and contract
288 such relations. Examples of ICT PPPs can include single windows, international trade

289 websites... but also parts of some other projects such as trade corridors, coordinated border
 290 management, etc.

291 These three general types of PPPs can be summarized with the main characteristics detailed in
 292 Figure 1.

293 Figure 1 - Main Characteristics of Institutional, Blended and Contractual PPP Projects.

Characteristics	Institutional	Blended/ Hybrid/ Triangular	Contractual
Contract required	No	Yes	Yes
Joint funding	Yes	Yes or other risk sharing	No
Service delivered	Typically public/private sector fund that contracts for services.	By private sector on behalf of public sector. May be some third sector delivery	By private sector on behalf of public sector.
Risks	Both parties agree responsibilities and agree risk profile.	Build, or Design and Build. May be underwritten by Third Sector	Build, or Design and Build.
Payments	Normally jointly managed funds into which they contribute, and then, make payments to implementers.	Service Delivered Could be a concession or unitary charge	Service Delivered Could be a concession or unitary charge
Contract length	Joint Venture type relationship to provide funding to third parties.	Suitable period to cover cost of investment and make a reasonable return for private sector	3-5 years 7-10 years 25-30+ years

294
 295 Source: own elaboration.

296

297 **B. GENERIC DESIGN AND BENEFITS OF CHOOSING PPP FOR TRADE**
 298 **FACILITATION PROJECT**
 299

300 The public sector could find multiple benefits for calling upon the private sector to organize
 301 and provide partial or total financing for PPP in Trade Facilitation (TF) projects. Some of the
 302 more common types of PPP in TF projects are outlined within this section as non-exhaustive
 303 examples along with some of the core considerations that will likely need to be addressed.

304 Though various combinations of phases can exist, the generic format of a PPP in TF project
 305 will usually include the following stages in the following order: Design, Build, Transfer, and
 306 Operate (DBTO). A PPP project that performs the DBTO phases, shares the tasks as follow:

- 307 a) Design (by private sector)
- 308 b) Build (by private sector)
- 309 c) Transfer (assets back to public sector); and
- 310 d) Operate (by private sector)

311 The design captures the innovation of private sector and allows exploration of potential
312 solutions that may not have been considered. It could be that the design is a joint exercise
313 between the public authorities and the private sector, or a separate competition. If the latter,
314 then, there are needs to be some sharing of risk between the design team and the service
315 provider (ie the party that implements the design and then goes onto deliver the service.
316 Typically the high level design will sit with the public sector whilst the detailed design risk
317 will be with the implementing and delivery partner. .

318 The build and associated risk remains with the private sector. There is an assumption here that
319 the private sector can best manage the risks associated with the build phase leading to a
320 project delivered on time and to budget.

321 Conventionally PPPs have followed a Design Build Operate Transfer (DBOT) route.
322 Increasingly, however latterly it is being recognized that for particular strategic assets it is
323 important for the ownership of the asset to transfer back to the public sector on completion of
324 the build and prior to the commencement of the service. The appropriate allocation of the
325 risks is dealt with through the contract and follows the same allocation as would be the case
326 with a DBOT. Following successful completion of the construction phase the ownership of
327 the underlying assets should be transferred to a suitable public sector authority/authorities. If
328 such an authority does not exist, then the ownership of the assets should remain with the
329 service provider until such time as an authority is set up.

330 It is important to highlight that in the event that the PPP is cancelled or the service provider
331 fails to provide the service that using a DBTO approach the asset is owned by the public
332 sector the assets are already within the control of the public sector and the public sector can
333 take control of the assets in order to deliver the required service or services.

334 The operation of the service should remain with the private-sector service provider for the
335 duration of the contract (subject to performance and contract terms).

336 *B.1. PPP in Single Window*

337 Single Window (SW) is defined in Recommendation 33 (UN/CEFACT) as a facility that
338 allows parties involved in trade and transport to lodge standardized information and
339 documents with a single entry point to fulfill all import, export, and transit-related regulatory
340 requirements. If information is electronic, then individual data elements should only be
341 submitted. The private sector could be involved in a Single Window system either at the
342 service level and/or as a builder of the ICT infrastructure. A Single Window system could
343 involve multiple projects that could include issues from the conformance standards to the
344 operational control of the SW authority (licensing, insurance, etc.).

345 The implementation of a Single Window project under a PPP will involve a number of steps.
346 First, the services to be achieved in single window implementation should be defined. At this
347 step, the integration or the possibilities for sharing information with other Single Windows
348 must be analyzed. The public institutions that will be involved in the collaboration will also
349 need to be defined.

350 Then, the information should be classed according to the lead agency that will ultimately be in
351 charge of the single window facility. This could be created around a stand-alone customs
352 system, a stand-alone partner cross border regulatory agency system, a port community
353 system or a community logistics system. Such classed information should be defined,
354 analyzed and reconciled as outlined within UN/CEFACT Recommendation 34.

355 The drafting of any PPP contract on Single Window should take into consideration a number
356 of aspects. Of course, the goals and services must be defined, but also the scope of functions
357 to be covered by the private-sector partner (development, operation and/or maintenance).
358 Financial aspects will also need to be addressed in such a contract, identifying how the
359 private-sector partner will be remunerated, what will be the source of the revenue, but also
360 what will be the value added to end-users taking into consideration the expected demand and
361 contingency financing in case of low demand.

362 B.2. PPP in trade and logistics corridors

363 A corridor is the link from the producer to the final destination to facilitate the easy
364 transportation. This could integrate an entire supply chain nationally, within a region and/or
365 internationally. In terms of trade facilitation a corridor allows to harmonize and simplify the
366 procedures from origin to final destinations, which should in turn enhance trade opportunities.

367 In a corridor, the elements that facilitate trade could come from very different sources: the
368 improvement, upgrading and expansion of transport infrastructure (port, airports, railways,
369 and road networks); intermodal facilities and procedures; cargo tracking systems; customs
370 information systems; regulation of transport; procedures to export and import products;
371 regulation in trade; number of documents to trade and tariffs; development of Single
372 Windows; etc. A corridor has a geographical dimension, but additionally could be specialized
373 in a specific sector or product. The private sector could provide the knowledge to increase
374 efficiency in terms of time and cost, in terms of the traded products, and/or in terms of
375 reducing bottlenecks and technical barriers to trade. Given the private sector interest in such
376 developments, a PPP project could be a pertinent financing and developing solution.

377 If a PPP solution is chosen for a trade corridor, the private participation could be rather
378 heterogeneous. The choice of partner(s) will largely depend upon the goals and objectives of
379 the resulting corridor and how the cost of these services will be passed on to the ultimate end-
380 users. These choices will define the type of PPP which would be pertinent: institutional,
381 contractual or blended PPP. It should be noted that both the Private and Public Sector Parties
382 need to be understand their responsibilities under a PPP contract in order for it to work
383 effectively and to reach its contractual end date.

384 B.3. PPP in ports

385 Seaports and airports are key logistics sights in international trade. Any port will include both
386 services and infrastructure and eventually ICT solutions. The various services that are
387 proposed include customs clearance processes, licensing, cargo handling, tracking and tracing
388 of merchandise, etc. The various infrastructures will include the actual port terminals, the
389 warehouses and offices, the hinterland (stock sites in proximity but not geographically part of
390 the physical port), the equipment to load or unload freight, etc.

391 In developing a port environment as part of its governmental role, the public sector may wish
392 to create a PPP with private sector partners to either enhance the services or improve the
393 infrastructures within ports, or eventually both. The private sector will often have a direct
394 interest in such projects since they will want to render these key logistics sights more effective
395 and more efficient. Furthermore, the private sector often has experiences in other ports and
396 they would be able to bring best practices to the service of the public sector partner.

397 B.4. Coordinated Border Management

398 This is another area where cooperation between government departments and the private
399 sector through a PPP can produce efficiencies at the border of a country to the benefit of its

400 trading community. This can include involvement of software and IT services companies to
401 ensure that the appropriate platform is built to allow this coordination to operate smoothly.
402 Multiple agencies within government should be involved, but it is also important to ensure the
403 inclusion of the private sector in the development and implementation of border management
404 and cooperation.³

405

406 **C. FEASIBILITY STUDY**

407

408 *C.1. Introduction The Strategic Case*

409 Private sector participation in trade facilitation measures should increase the quality of the
410 services provided. However, care must be taken and mechanisms must be created in procuring
411 the services in a transparent manner. The contractual mechanism itself should be designed to
412 reduce barriers to trade and also to encourage the service provider to innovate to reduce
413 barriers to trade.

414 In this context, an initial feasibility study needs to be developed. It important to ensure that
415 there is real transparency and this needs to start at the very beginning of the project cycle.
416 This should involve consultation, which is one of the key tools employed to improve
417 transparency, efficiency and effectiveness.⁴ The consultation process should be used to
418 improve management effectiveness, regulation and governability and conversely therefore to
419 avoid pitfalls and conflicts of interest.

420 Although some of the data within the feasibility study may remain commercial in confidence
421 to the public sector, as much of the study as possible should not just be disclosed, but also
422 shared and discussed with stakeholders. Transparency and accountability are the best tools to
423 ensure lack of corruption. One of the characteristics of transparency is access to the
424 information.

425 In a PPP project in TF not only the partners of the project should have access to the
426 information: information should be accessible to any stakeholders. In a fully transparent
427 environment, all the information about the project should be accessible and explained in a
428 comprehensive way. Such information should include:

- 429 a) The business aim needs to be clearly articulated – why do we need to undertake this
430 project (at this stage it should not be stating whether the project is a PPP or not).
431 b) The range of services included in the contract.
432 c) The revenues, benefits and performance levels agreed and achieved as well as the cost
433 of the project and payments versed.
434 d) The use of government grants, guarantees and other financial support including
435 significant risk-bearing. The creation of mechanisms to reduce corruption,
436 inefficiencies or protect against individual interests (e.g. IT solutions, supervision
437 agency, verification systems).
438

³ See WCO Research Paper No.2 on Coordinated Border Management from June 2009, section 5.

⁴ See UN/CEFACT Recommendation 40 on Consultation Approaches, 2014.

439 C.2. Country Readiness Statement

440 As part of the feasibility study it is important to be able to identify, assess and quantify risks
441 that might arise that are associated with each particular option. This need is often neglected or
442 a simple assessment is undertaken. The wider risks that are associated with conventional (ie
443 non PPP) contracting are understood but those associated with PPP are not. In particular the
444 risks that need to be considered are associated with the contracting environment that exists
445 within each country including the country's attitude towards using the private sector to deliver
446 public sector services. It is very important to undertake a PPP Country Readiness Statement
447 (Crown Agents Copyright). This comprises four pillars and focuses on the maturity of
448 thinking on PPP (private sector engagement) in public sector and easyness of doing business:

- 449 • Enabling environment (appropriate legislative framework and PPP aware public
450 servants).
- 451 • Established business environment (easiness to set up a business operation within the
452 country, ie. number of days, need for local partners,...).
- 453 • Political confidence – there a widely held (or shared) belief amongst politicians and
454 civil servants that the private sector has a role to play in delivery of public sector
455 services.
- 456 • Financial marketplace: What is the state of the financial market place?. How familiar
457 are the local financial institution with PPP as a concept?. How quickly will they assess
458 and respond to funding requests? and, How will they assess the risk? Will interest
459 rates be reasonable or loaded making proejcts unaffordable?.

460 and three foundation steps:

- 461 • Economic Stability: If there is a period of high inflation: How will the private sector
462 protect its income stream? Is it the right time to invest in the local marketplace?.
- 463 • Investors: Is there a wide choice of investors and who are they?. Will the proposition
464 result in investment into the country but also ultimately be withdrawn from the
465 economy? To what extent do they understand the business model?
- 466 • Service deliverers: To what extent are local builders and operators availabe locally? Is
467 there a labour force readily available? What level of training would be required to
468 bring the employees to an appropriate level of competency? Are there any funded
469 programmers or grants that are available to build up local competencies and business
470 and would the service provider have access to these? To what extent is the supply of
471 experienced competent workers clearly engaged on other projects? Would the project
472 be more or less risky than competing PPP projects being developed elsewhere?

473 There need to be two assessments the first is based on the doemestic market and the second is
474 based on international market. The outcome of the assessment enables stakeholders to assess
475 the risk of the project failing and this data can be fed into the feasibility study as part of the
476 risk adjusted whole life cost assessment that is undertaken as part of the economic assessment.

477 C.3. Economic assessment

478 To decide on the delivery mode of a specific service or project, governments and private
479 sector should conduct a value-for-money analysis that considers a variety of delivery options
480 and determines whether PPP delivers best value for money would be the best option on a risk
481 adjusted whole-life-cycle cost basis. The value-for-money assessment consists of the
482 evaluation the cost and the benefits of the project. This process has to be unbiased and thus
483 should be based on high-quality data and a clearly specified and standardized evaluation
484 process. C.1. Value for money assessment
485

486 To decide on the delivery mode of a specific service or project, governments and private
487 sector should conduct a value-for-money analysis that considers a variety of delivery options
488 and determines whether PPP delivers best value for money would be the best option on a risk
489 adjusted whole-life-cycle cost basis. The value-for-money assessment consists of the
490 evaluation the cost and the benefits of the project. This process has to be unbiased and thus
491 should be based on high-quality data and a clearly specified and standardized evaluation
492 process.

493 The value-for-money quantitative assessment in a PPP project should include the costs of the
494 design, build and operations, including upgrading and maintenance, and also, any financing
495 costs, and the transaction and contract governance costs. Additionally to the costs, the value-
496 for-money assessment includes the benefits of providing a PPP project, such as, the
497 improvements in the service delivery and the predictable changes in end-user requirements.

498 At the same time projects should consider options and variations and compare these to the
499 original project specification (in technical requirements, technology, methodology) in order to
500 achieve best value for money.

501 When a bidding process is used in any infrastructure or concession project to select the private
502 sector party, the efficiency is increased by selecting the best proposal based on the technical
503 solution, the budget needed, the operational feasibility, the quality and variety of services
504 provided and the compliance with environmental standards and/or the society. The best
505 solution that wins the bid reduces the risks of the project (it is not necessarily the cheapest
506 project).

507 There are specific difficulties in calculating value for money for each type of PPP in TF
508 project. Value for money depends on risks assessment, risks allocation (public or private), the
509 length of the PPP project, the demand, the sources of revenues for the project e.g. (taxes,
510 grants, price paid by customers).

511 A number of options should be evaluated to determine the option that provides the best value
512 for money. This should include an economic impact study (not just the impact of the facility
513 itself, but also the impact on the economy itself e.g. the local area). This is undertaken using
514 discounted cash flows and by calculating an equivalent annual charge.

515 The focus of the economic assessment is to analyze a short list of options taken forward from
516 the strategic case. The intention is to identify the project that delivers best overall value for
517 money. The assessment is based on a whole life costing starting with the upfront design and
518 capital build costs to which the revenue cost over the life of the contract and any exit costs.
519 All cost and revenues are matched in the years that they arise and then discounted back to a
520 specific date using an agreed discount. This mechanism is “whole life costing” to this, the cost

521 associated with risk and risk mitigation needs to be added in order to arrive at the overall risk
522 adjusted whole life costing.

523 The financial source of investment could come from the private sector in the form of debt or
524 equity and the source of the revenue that will pay back the investment (by taxes, user charges,
525 or price of the services, etc.). However, the financial source of investment is more linked with
526 the risks of a PPP project, and the source of the revenue is more linked with the business
527 model and the value for money in a PPP project. PPP projects allow joining the best of two
528 approaches: the public sector introduce terms of efficiency (reducing cost, allocating
529 resources, and increasing profitability), client orientation and service quality; and the private
530 sector bring the defense of general interest, planning and regulation.

531

532 C.4. Affordability

533 As well as assessing value for money the feasibility study also needs to assess the
534 affordability of the project. We have to think here how the project is going to be funded and
535 will sufficient funds be available to the government throughout the whole life of the deal to
536 make payments to the service provider? Or where users are expected to make payments, will
537 the fees be low enough to be affordable, or at least not be so high as to be putting off the end-
538 users, resulting in insufficient demand for the services offered?

539 In some cases there may be conflict between the project that delivers best value for money
540 over time and the project that is most affordable. It may be that budget or other
541 financial/treasury constraints mean that the only affordable option for a government is to seek
542 external funding such as through a PPP.

543 The project implementation should ideally be self-financing from additional revenues
544 generated. If there is a net cost, and the project is a vital economic growth enabler then other
545 financing solutions should be considered, such as seeking third sector involvement, otherwise
546 the project should not be introduced. Another reason that there might be funding gap is as a
547 result of the project of pledging resources that may or may not materialize. An example of this
548 may be a trade corridor that involves more than one country and one country either decides
549 not to go ahead with its part of the deal or can no longer afford to make contributions to the
550 unitary charge.

551 For completeness it is recommended that two model costings are prepared: one based on the
552 public sector delivering the service known widely as a Public Sector Comaparator (PSC) and
553 one for a the private sector often referred to as a reference bid.

554 C.5. Good governance

555 Good governance encompasses the need for a clear, predictable, legitimate and appropriately
556 resourced institutional framework. This will involve public awareness through consultations
557 of the relative costs, benefits and risks of PPPs and public procurement. It further involves the
558 need to maintain key institutional roles and responsibilities (to ensure prudent procurement
559 process and clear lines of accountability) as well as the need for regulation to be clear,
560 transparent, enforced and not excessive. A transparent budgetary process minimizes fiscal

561 risks and ensures integrity of the procurement process in PPPs, with disclosure of all costs and
562 contingent liabilities and the need to ensure the integrity of the procurement process.⁵

563 Ensuring appropriate good governance standards is a critical pre-requisite where private
564 sector or third sector funds are sought as co-financing. In many cases, it may be desirable that
565 the PPP operate under the country's own framework. If the private sector or third sector
566 partner agrees to this use of country systems, the fiduciary assurance obligations of the private
567 sector or third sector partner will require them to be as rigorous as their own. Clearly there are
568 additional considerations if the private sector is contracting with a supra national or cross
569 border agency.

570 Contracts are more likely to fail if there is poor governance. The governance arrangements as
571 stated within the contract need to be robust as well as adherence to them. At the outset of the
572 contract, it should be agreed as part of the process that there should be an agreement on the level
573 and type of information to be published throughout the life of the contract. Stakeholders
574 should be made aware of

- 575 a) The state of evolution of the project on a regular basis.
- 576 b) Any contract or specification changes since the contract was originally signed and any
577 relevant side agreements including government guarantees.

578

579 **D. MAIN ASPECTS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH PPPS IN TF**

580

581 One of the advantages of a Public-Private Partnership is that the participating partners can
582 share the risks of the projects. Ideally, each party should do what they do best in order to
583 allocate risks to the party that can minimize them better. A joint risk schedule should form
584 part of the contract that clearly identifies the ownership of risks. At the lowest level each risk
585 should be allocated to a specific party, (ie no risks should be "shared") thereby giving clarity
586 as to who is responsible for mitigating and managing risks.

587 The Public Sector should retain the right to cancel the contract as a consequence of inadequate
588 provision or non-performance. If the contract is a DBOT (develop-build-operate-transfer PPP)
589 the underlying asset will be with the private-sector partner and a transfer clause is required for
590 the Government to recover the asset.

591 In any type of PPP project, risks allocation and management are critical in order to provide
592 responsibility, accountability. For this, several aspects need to be taken into consideration
593 including the objectives of the project, the funding/financing structure through the length of
594 the contract, the quality of service standards agreed, the variability of the demand and the
595 value of assets at the end of the contract.

596 General considerations for risks to be considered are outlined within annex 3 and are also
597 incorporated into annex 1. However, the more general risks are detailed below.

⁵ See the work of the OECD as of March 2015: www.oecd.org/governance/oecdprinciplesforpublicgovernanceofpublic-privatepartnerships.htm as well as that of the World Bank as of March 2015: <http://wbi.worldbank.org/wbi/Data/wbi/wbicms/files/drupal-acquia/wbi/WBIPPIAFPPReferenceGuidev11.0.pdf> and the work of the UN Convention against corruption as of March 2015: www.unodc.org/documents/corruption/Technical_Guide_UNCAC.pdf

598 D.1. PPP Units

599 PPP Units are a single unit within central government cross cutting departments, or centrally
600 with additional separate units in those departments to undertake PPP projects for the
601 promotion, coordination and development of good. In countries with a federal structure, there
602 may be a federal PPP Unit or units as well as state level. The PPP units should collate and
603 disseminate procurement and contractual best practice and lessons learnt.

604 It is therefore critical to find out if there is a PPP Unit with responsibility for scrutinizing or
605 supporting PPP Projects and defining and setting the local rules, regulation and legislation
606 that must be followed. Where there is a PPP unit it would be typical for a member of staff
607 from the Unit to be assigned to one or more PPPs projects to provide expert advice.

608 In terms of trade facilitation, sometimes PPP Units are very knowledgeable about
609 infrastructure or concession PPPs and familiar with health, power, transport or ICT, however,
610 usually they are not specialized and do not bring much experience with regard to the area of
611 trade facilitation and the goals of the World Customs Organisation (being to enhance the
612 efficiency and effectiveness with regard to customs facilitation and control of its
613 members). Secondly, although the WTO instruments and best practice guides are recognised
614 as the basis for sound Trade Facilitation administration throughout the world a generalist PPP
615 specialist will not be familiar with them. It will therefore up to the Trade Facilitation
616 practitioners to ensure that any PPP does not conflict with WTO best practice whilst the PPP
617 practitioner will have responsibility to ensure that due process is followed with regard to
618 procuring, monitoring and managing PPP service providers.

619 The key objectives of a PPP Unit will differ depending on the local environment and the
620 extent to which the principles for PPP are already embedded in a particular market. PPP units
621 should, as far as possible, work together across national boundaries to ensure that best
622 practice is shared internationally as well as within a country. In doing so it should provide for
623 an enabling environment for cross boundary and Supra national PPPs. The benefits of a PPP
624 Units include:

- 625 1. Promotion and coordination of PPPs within a country/area of responsibility.
- 626 2. Development and dissemination of best practice.
- 627 3. Prioritisation of schemes seeking funding.
- 628 4. Source of reviewers to monitor quality of projects being progressed.
- 629 5. Bringing together of partners (investment and delivery).

630
631 It is worth noting, however, that the creation of a PPP unit is neither a necessary or sufficient
632 condition for a successful PPP programme. PPP units tend to struggle when :

- 633 • Senior politicians do not support the PPP program
- 634 • Procurement of infrastructure and capital works in not transparent or competitive
- 635 • Coordination within Government in weak.
- 636 • There is limited or no cross boundary cooperation.

637
638 There has been a tendency to centralise PPP expertise into a single centralised unit. This has
639 the advantage of:

- 640 • more rapidly identifying and disseminating best practices, the
- 641 • sharing of intelligence between practitioners about suppliers and their performance,
- 642 • the elimination of poor practices and therefore

- 643 • leading to the provision of improved support to the government departments and
644 ministries.
645

646 Nevertheless, in order to design an effective PPP it will be necessary for the Trade Facilitation
647 Unit to work closely with the PPP unit and to share WTO and other related Trade Facilitation
648 best practice data and expertise with the PPP practitioners.

649

650 D.2 Return on investment

651 Contractual PPP projects will be between the public sector and the private sector. The latter
652 participates within PPP projects in the expectations that they will make at least a reasonable a
653 return on investment. Except in projects with third sector organisations, the business case of
654 PPP projects is usually based on the ability of the private sector to make a return and for the
655 project to be affordable (to end-users) over the period. In addition to undertaking a full value
656 for money assessment, using a risk adjusted whole life costing, there also needs to be careful
657 consideration to the contractual commercial clauses associated with payment and reward
658 mechanisms, step in and exit clauses and the freedoms, rights and constraints that the
659 contractor (the private sector) has in order to operate the service and to generate additional
660 revenue streams.

661 D.3. Insufficient funds

662 Many PPPs fail because they are not affordable. For those PPP projects where the public
663 sector make a regular payment for services received over the lifetime of the project, it may be
664 that insufficient funds have been made available to pay the private sector the charges over the
665 lifetime of the project. The level of funding available will be determined by the national (or
666 regional or supra-national) budget. Before the project commences the public authority needs
667 to secure the revenue funding required to support the operational phase of the project.

668 In PPPs where charges are levied on end-users there may be a need to subsidize the operation.
669 The public sector will often regulate the value of charges that can be levied from end users. It
670 is important to assess the extent to which regulation may result in a shortfall of income.
671 Depending on the nature of the PPP the Public Sector may or may not be willing to top up a
672 shortfall in income. The need for any top up including the value and reason will need to be
673 identified and negotiated prior to the contract being signed.

674 For example, a government department may sign a deal with a private sector contractor,
675 which contains a price escalator to deal with the impact of inflation over the period of the
676 contract. The basis may be the same as that used internally within government in which case
677 if internal funding continues on the current basis for the period of the contract and the funding
678 is available there should not be a funding gap. However, if the funding basis changes or the
679 government adopts a different inflation escalator over a period of time the government
680 department may no longer have the funds to support the contract. If the department applies for
681 additional funds and these are not forthcoming the public sector may have to renegotiate
682 terms or default.

683 As a consequence of the affordability analysis if there are insufficient funds, the appropriate
684 actions suggested are:

- 685 1) To seek additional funds to support the project (from internal or external sources).

- 686 2) Review the project to see if the scope or specification or performance levels can be
687 adjusted to reduce the overall cost.
688 3) Consider different and mixed charging and budget support mechanisms.
689 4) Renegotiate the terms of the initial contract.
690 5) If the budget gap cannot be bridged to make a clear decision not to go ahead with the
691 project.

692 D.4. Contract length

693 There are three considerations when agreeing the length of a PPP contract: investment cost,
694 affordability and life of the asset.

695 The length of time it takes for the service provider to pay off its debts and to make a
696 reasonable return will be affected by the need to keep the prices affordable. A large
697 infrastructure project will typically have longer contract length as it will need a longer period
698 before the initial investment is recovered before a reasonable return can be achieved. The
699 earlier the private sector service provider can repay the loan the lower the overall cost of the
700 loan potentially improving the return made by the service provider. This depends on how
701 much the end-users and government can afford or are willing to pay. If at the outset of the
702 project financial modeling indicates that a shorter contract period might be possible this can
703 be considered taking all factors into account but it is not necessarily the right thing to do.

704 D.5 Tender process

705 It is important to engage complex procurement experts that understand both developing good
706 practice and the pitfalls associated with contracting for PPPs. It is essential for the public
707 sector to prepare and issue complete and clear documentation that describes:

- 708 a. the business need,
709 b. the service required,
710 c. the procurement process, and
711 d. high level scoring and evaluation methodology.

712 In order to ensure an effective competition it is beneficial to attract at least three bidders.
713 Having more than one or two bidders should encourage better quality submissions and
714 competitive pricing. This may require the public sector to undertake a “market making”
715 exercise such as a bidders conference where small as well as large companies, both domestic
716 and international can meet and potentially form consortia.

717 Best practice recommends that PPP contracts include an authority authored schedule that
718 states their requirement (as far as possible on an output basis), and a second service provider
719 authored schedule that describes how they are going to meet the requirement. In terms of
720 assessing performance the need to meet the requirement, the authority authored schedule takes
721 precedence over the service provider schedule.

722 The investment made by companies preparing bids can be significant so it is important to
723 ensure that they are properly scrutinized and evaluated. Bidders should be given an equal
724 opportunity to present, discuss and clarify their bid submissions. Although given equal
725 opportunity the bidders need not take advantage of the time made available to them.

726 D.6. Barriers to trade

727 It is important that the private sector is restricted from operating in a manner that will or
728 might create barriers to trade. These barriers could be in the form of tolls, levies, or physical

729 such as invasive searches to time associated with the administration required to pass through
730 border posts.

731 It will be important to be forward thinking when creating the contract and to clearly lay down
732 all such considerations.

733 D.7. Cooperation of all relative parties

734 Some projects, such as those involving a single window, will require cooperation among
735 several government agencies, in order, to create a new border-related service. These agencies
736 will need to coordinate with each other as well as with all of the private sector partners and
737 other stakeholders. In order to correctly address this, it would be pertinent to perform a risk
738 assessment of the partners and clearly define the relationships, rights, obligations and
739 liabilities of each partner.

740 As described in UN/CEFACT Recommendation 33, it is important to ensure the full
741 participation of all relative government agencies as early on in the process as possible.

742 D.8. Public Perceptions

743 The overt use of the private sector can lead to resentment from the end-users and if they
744 believe that the private sector is unfairly benefitting from the contractual arrangements it can
745 lead to problems, non-compliance and avoidance.

746 Public authorities usually have the risk of applying administrative and procurement law. This
747 allocation of risk might lead to a situation where private partners are overly keen on
748 suggesting different partnership ideas to the public party, not considering the legal
749 consequences and even hoping to obtain an exclusive right through the partnership. The
750 public authority runs the risk of breaching principles of transparency and non-discrimination.

751 As long as the rules on PPP are not completely clear, private partners can see PPPs as ways to
752 obtain a competitive edge in the markets without having to take part in competition for related
753 projects (by way of concluding public contracts). Public authorities could be convinced of
754 thinking that they can choose their private partners as they wish. The fact that legal risk tend
755 to go to the public partner might encourage private firms into trading with public authorities.
756 It is, however, uncertain whether the outcome of this is actually more facilitating to trade (in
757 general) than trading with public authorities through transparent procurement procedures.

758 Some solutions to this will be to consider all legal angles that will be pertinent to the proposed
759 project and also to include all interested parties (especially end-users) in the process as early
760 as possible through relevant consultation approaches (see UN/CEFACT Recommendation 40).

761 D.9. Protection of commercially or otherwise sensitive information

762 While the principle should be full disclosure between the parties to the PPP contract, there
763 need to be appropriate safeguards to avoid the disclosure of information that should remain
764 confidential. The public authority may occasionally be prohibited by law from disclosing
765 some information – e.g. public health and welfare information, depending on the nature of the
766 market concerned, or where national law requires prior judicial authorization to disclose
767 information. More commonly, commercially sensitive information that could impede fair
768 competition under the current PPP in TF or a future PPP in TF should not be disclosed.

769 An example of this might include a set of two competitors for a particular contract, in which
770 information arising in one contractual relationship that might affect competition in other

771 contractual relationships. Given the need to apply the overriding principle and to avoid
772 abusive reliance on this type of exemption, however, the standards should refer to legal
773 sources that define or describe the information that can be withheld, and categories of
774 authorized or unauthorized persons for the purpose. The possibility of legal challenges to
775 decisions in this context should be contemplated, further highlighting the need for a clear
776 regulatory framework.⁶ (This whole paragraph is a little confusing and may need to be
777 reworded a little) .

778 D.10. Risks in ICT PPPs

779 Data (ownership, hosting, management manipulation and disclosure) is another significant
780 issue with ICT PPPs. The data should not be in the public domain and will need to be in
781 compliance with both local privacy laws and any relevant legislation concerning the access to
782 information. The access to data by the public sector when required is critical to the normal
783 operation of government.

784 Who will own the data? Data ownership should be compatible with national laws governing
785 this issue. This should vary from one legislation to another. However, for the effective ICT
786 implementation, the private sector, who is operating the solution will likely need to use the
787 data for the intended purpose. Where the data is managed, maintained and distributed may be
788 dictated by this need to use the data. However, the ultimate responsibility of the data should
789 likely be with the public sector in order to protect its security and privacy. Depending on
790 national legislation on the subject, the end-user trader who originally provided the data may
791 be considered the legal owner of the data and as such, it may be necessary to allow that party
792 to exercise a number of rights such as: a) access to their data; b) verify the accuracy, proper
793 maintenance and upgrading of those data; and c) preserve their privacy. Instruments, such as
794 National Agencies of Data Protection, can help to solve conflicts that might occur among the
795 owner, the administrator, and the responsible to warehouse the data.

796 Will the data be stored on the private sector supplier's servers? It may be the case that the
797 supplier wishes to mirror data on its own servers for back up purposes. Access to such servers
798 and the use, storage and destruction of such data must be carefully considered by government
799 when contracting with the private sector. The importance of these issues should not be
800 underestimated. For example, the government may not wish data to be held on servers in
801 another country, in which case, this must be made clear to the service provider. Such
802 constraints could have a negative impact on price and should be considered as part of the
803 business case. Equally, if these matters are not addressed the risk of data going missing or not
804 being accessible should be included in the business case and the costs associated to the data
805 risks (for being inaccessible, inaccurate, or lost) must be included in the risks assessment.

806 Who will be responsible for stewardship of the data. When establishing the procurement and
807 the contract, a choice will need to be made between the private sector and the public sector as
808 the final responsible of the stewardship, collection, use, maintenance and disclosure
809 accurately the data. It would be advisable to opt for the public sector partner to retain such
810 responsibility. This implies that the government retains a constant access to the servers even
811 beyond the lifecycle of the contract and regardless of any claims from the private sector

⁶ Sources: UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement, article 24, accompanying Guide to Enactment, and Procurement regulations, available as of March 2015 at http://uncitral.org/uncitral/uncitral_texts/procurement_infrastructure.html

812 partner. Care should be taken as the private sector usually provides more advance knowledge
813 and skills in providing software and hardware.

814 Who will own the licenses? In the event that the private sector partner goes bankrupt, the
815 public sector will need licenses to continue to use the systems on which the trade data is held.
816 This needs to be considered during negotiations and dealt with appropriately in the contract.

817 Can the ownership of the licenses be transferred? It is advisable that ownership can be
818 transferred. If the licenses are held by the PPP private sector partner, arrangements should be
819 made for the public sector to inherit the licenses at the end of the contract period or ensure
820 that they can be transferred to a new private sector partner chosen by subsequent procurement.

821 Finally, when a new private sector service provider is contracted, then the existing data should
822 be freely handed over to the new supplier without the original private sector partner creating
823 commercial or technical blockages. Such considerations will need to be addressed in the
824 procurement and contract.

825 *D.11. Legal consideration*

826 As there are legal risks usually involved in PPPs, public authorities usually resort to private
827 partners in national markets and not economic operators situated abroad. Organizing PPPs
828 usually touches on a plethora of different laws (contract law, administrative law etc).

829 The legal framework in multiple countries can also be a potential source of risk. Where
830 countries have signed up to various trade treaties those treaties typically will identify the
831 legislative authorities, mediators and arbiters and conflict resolution routes. Even if a specific
832 contract is silent or a contradictory situation arises, It is possible to fall back onto international
833 trade agreements which the host nation is a signatory.

834 For example, some countries will oblige companies based in their territory to respect certain
835 legal obligations no matter where they conduct their business. In this way, the private sector
836 partner who responds to a procurement tender may need to not only respect the legal
837 constraints outlined in the procurement tender, but also that of the country linked to their head
838 office. This could eventually provide further guarantees to the public sector publishing the
839 tender just as this could provide multiple constraints on the private sector respondent.

840 Critically issues arise where a TF based project requires contracts to be signed with
841 Authorities in different jurisdictions. Where countries are facing different and possibly
842 difficult economic situations, or have different philosophies or legal systems these risks need
843 to be considered early on in the procurement process by potential service providers. If the
844 commitment or management approach is likely to create governance problems these need to
845 be factored into the bidders risk model.

846 The PPP in Trade Facilitation is more likely to be successful if it conforms to a set of contract
847 rules. In order for a PPP in TF to deliver benefits, it will need to consider the technical and
848 economic performance of each project. The qualitative and quantitative factors to evaluate the
849 project need to be considered within its appropriate regulatory context. All within a
850 framework of good governance with effective mechanisms of supervision, monitoring and
851 control.

852

853 **E. MONITORING AND EVALUATION**

854

855 One of the characteristics of a PPP contract is that income streams are not guaranteed. Rather
856 the PPP Service Provider is remunerated according to the quality and level of service
857 delivered compared to that specified. The model that underpins the performance and payment
858 regime needs to set out in principle at the outset of the procurement. The actual mechanism
859 used during the life of the contract will be negotiated and finalise before contract signature.
860 The contract and governance procedures should allow for changes to the mechanism
861 according to the contractually based predefined set of rules.

862

863 Actual monitoring of performance needs to be transparent and the parties should meet on a
864 regular basis for them to agree the nature and reason for performance failures. Where the level
865 of performance is such that it results in deductions being applied to payments the level of
866 deduction needs to be agreed between the parties. Any disputed “service failures” will not
867 lead directly to a deduction but, instead be referred to the appropriate governance board and
868 go through a pre-agreed procedure in order to achieve resolution. The mechanism should
869 allow the Authority and the Service Provider limited flexibility in their application. For
870 example the mechanism me be used only as a tool to assess and improve performance in the
871 initial inception phase of the project (which typically may be up to one year) and not lead to
872 financial deductions.

873

874 Repeating failures should not be encouraged and therefore the mechanism should result in an
875 increasing impact as the failure is repeated or continues over time. On the other hand the
876 mechanism should allow for rectification periods during which repairs can be made and fro
877 which deductions are not calculated.

878

879 The Mechanism should allow for “key indicators” and “other indicators”. Typically key
880 indicators lead to financial deductions whilst other indicators are simply measured to ascertain
881 overall quality of performance and to identify areas of improvement. Typically the Authority
882 is allowed to undertake limited swapping of “key” and “other” indicators on an annual basis.
883 This seeks to ensure that the focus of the monitoring and evaluation continues to be relevant
884 throughout he contract.

885

886 As part of the governance process a Partnering Board should be held at least annually between
887 Authority and delivery Partner Seniors to discuss the performance of managing staff and the
888 partnership as a whole.

889

889

890 **ANNEX 1: PPP IN TF – KEY CHARACTERISTICS**891 **A. INSTITUTIONAL**

Key characteristics	<p>Development PPP are those Public Private Partnerships where Public money (such as USAID) is combined with private monies (from companies, Foundations, NGOs) in a joint fund to achieve a development objective.</p> <p>Typically it may be capacity building, civil society system strengthening health delivery programs.</p> <p>A development PPP may be used to train Customs and Revenue officials</p>
Best practices model	
Barriers to trade	No Implication Investment in TF Development PPPs should lead to a more transparent environment as it would focus providing resources for implementing best practice and capacity building.
Charging	<p>User charges</p> <p>These programs are normally free to the recipients. Contracts are let to third parties to deliver the program on behalf of the Fund Partners. The service delivery may be through training, or through technical support and advice.</p>
Performance models	<p>Contracts will be signed with service providers. Payments will be made to the service provider.</p> <p>The contract mechanism based on the quality of service as assessed by the users and/ or and will be subject to outcomes achieved as a consequence of the service provided.</p> <p>For example the generation of increased revenues.</p>
Contract length	These PPP programs are relatively short from a few months to three to five years(although in the health sector they may be as much as 7 years)
Asset ownership	There are normally no significant assets associated with a development PPP.
Risk management	Development PPPs often use computers and related software. A key issue is to ensure that any such training would be undertaken on appropriate platforms.

892

893

Key characteristics	ICT (Information and Communication Technology) Infrastructure a) E.g. single-window b) E.g. E-procurement systems c) E.g. CCTV/identification cameras/charging cameras
Best practices model	Design, Build, Implementation, Transfer, Operate Design System to integrate appropriately with related wider government systems. System to reflect local conditions, i.e. reliable power supply/back up power supply/ robust kit, secure comms. (possibly by satellite) Build Supplier to recommend and supply kit to Authority. Supplier to take risk on compatibility issues regarding the recommended kit. Implementation Supplier to install all equipment and commission the system. The supplier may have a simple support contract to maintain the ICT or may have a wider brief to provide the full service or part of the service. Transfer Following build and implementation all hardware and communications equipment to be transferred to the ownership of the authority.
Barriers to trade	a) Incompatible systems – failure of systems to talk to one another – lack of a genuine single window and the time / cost associated with that. b) User Charges- entry/processing/registration charges set a level that may discriminate against SMEs and local service providers, c) Charges set by supplier (service provider) rather than controlled and capped by a public authority d) An unexpected consequence of contractual performance and payment causes the Operator behaving in a way that maximizes their revenue that slows down or impedes trade
Charging	User charges Ideally use a unitary charge payable by government and subject to a performance and availability mechanism Transaction charges to the user – these may need to be limited so as not to impede trade and should be set by government and not be linked to the cost of the contract. Otherwise there is state shadow charging The Supplier should be paid a pre-agreed fee or set of fees. Any element specifically tied to the generation of additional revenues should be capped to ensure that supplier does not generate super profits by operating the service on behalf of the public sector.
Performance models	There are two elements: 1) Performance(i.e. speed of response) and availability of the system 2) Availability of the system – and ability to handle a specific amount of traffic at anyone point.

	<p>This would normally be an acceptable risk to the contractor – although this may limit the ability to future proof the technology (for example if trade doubles beyond expected growth over the contract period)... although in that scenario you could define server response times.</p>
Contract length	<p>PPP is a poor choice for long term PPP contracts and typically ICT contracts are shorter than Infrastructure projects due to the rapidly changing pace of technology.</p> <p>ICT service providers will not typically take on the risk of technological change after the first “refresh (normally approximately 5 years and certainly no more than 10 years.</p> <p>Typical Contract lengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Three to Five years (departmental or local projects) • Five to Seven years Large (departmental and expensive projects) • Eight to ten years (large national ICT project) • Ten to fifteen years (Major very expensive nationally important ICT projects) <p>The smaller the ICT component and the larger the service domain element the more the likelihood is for a five year contract with possible extension and that trade software would need to be mobile technology for smaller traders – particularly in Africa where mobile technology is more mobile based than in say the UK where there is a greater proliferation of land based internet technology.</p>
Asset ownership	<p>As far as possible assets should be transferred into public ownership as soon as possible following construction. Depending on the type of PPP (DBOT may transfer ownership a later time; but many recent PPPs are looking to have the transfer of ownership at an earlier stage)</p>
Risk management	<p>Ideally the Public Sector should contract separately for the wider service delivery and restrict the “PPP” contract to the technical delivery of the system.</p> <p>All hardware, software and communications to be “recommended”, provided and implemented, by the contractor</p> <p>The System implementation and operation should be integrated with existing government systems, based on fixed fee for implementation and operation.</p> <p>Performance and availability mechanisms should be in place with the opportunity for a supplier to earn back some of the income lost by improved performance etc.</p>

895

896

897 **C. INFRASTRUCTURE**

Key characteristics	<p>Design Build Transfer and Operate (DBTO) or similar. Typically longer term contracts of up to 20, 25 or 30 years. These include buildings, road ways and dry ports. Service provider may require third party financing. Roadways and bridge projects could be even longer As with all PPP projects fees are earned by the service provider during the operation phase of the projects Fees earned during service phase of contract NOT during the construction phase</p>
Best practices model	Design, Build, Implementation, Transfer, Operate
Barriers to trade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Need to align cross border applicable legislation b) Need to align existing systems and processes which may be incompatible with existing systems and processes c) Any Service provider should be seeking to minimize processing time d) If possible, along a trade corridor repeat processes should be eliminated.
Charging	<p>Unitary Charge (example of topics that could be included) In order to minimize the barriers to trade the supplier should be paid according to a robust payment model. The service provider should be paid according to performance and availability of service. There should be no direct association between the level of charges at the border posts dry ports etc. and the receipt of income by the service provider. Rather the number of units charge and the accuracy of that charging should be the clear indicators used to pay the service provider against an agreed initial payment schedule. Any bonuses must be limited in scope and financed from the use of best practice operations rather than through perceived harassment or the slowing down of traffic creating a trade barrier. With direct charging the income collection by the service provider is vulnerable to alternative routes that enable their service points to be bypassed. National and international infrastructure and trade facilitation policies The unitary charge may comprise budgetary sourcing from more than one national entity. In such circumstances it may be case that direct charging is less risky for the service provider</p>
Performance models	<p>The performance mechanism associated with the unitary charge should take into account any such polices that affect the usage and payment of dues by users on the service provider. Some examples that could be used as a performance model) On the assumption that users are not directly charged and an availability of asset seems easiest solution. Roads can be done on number of lanes availability or average time travelled between two points</p>

	<p>Ports on number of docking spaces available, or turnaround times. More analysis is required on specific projects to understand the benefits of one approach over another.</p> <p>Government sets a KPI (for the operator / service provider).</p> <p>Service model (how should the Service Provider respond to customers)</p> <p>A Monitoring and evaluation mechanism needs to be established.</p>
Contract length	<p>Long enough for the asset to generate suitable income for the private sector and allow secondary investments – thus making it an attractive investment prospect.</p> <p>Keeping in mind that it should not become a barrier to trade.</p> <p>Overall compensation to the Service Provider needs to provide them with a reasonable return.</p> <p>Public sector aspects to be brought in here.</p> <p>Contract needs to be long enough to allow private sectors to want to participate in PPP; but also important for public sector to look over how contract is managed/operated so that when and if they take over the project, they will have been able to absorb the aspects that make it work in the first place.</p> <p>Length of contract should depend on the type of PPP project (see below).</p>
Asset ownership	
Risk management	<p>Important to consider local legislation. For example</p> <p>Facilities such as ports may not be able to be held as private sector assets</p> <p>Legally the private sector may not be able to deliver certain services – if legislative environment is not taken into consideration, it might be perceived as a barrier to bidding for the PPP).</p> <p>A PPP service may start and later be proven that it is actually not a service which can be provided by the private sector – health services, for example)</p> <p>Therefore consideration must be given to revising local legislation</p> <p>Risks associated with the physical assets remain with the service provider regardless of ownership</p>

898

899

899

900 **ANNEX 2: VALUE FOR MONEY (VFM) FACTORS**

901 The VFM of a PPP is defined as the maximum of the difference between the value of the
902 services provided and the costs. Some of the factors that affect the assessment of VFM in a
903 PPP project are the following:

- 904 a) Bid criteria.
- 905 b) Delays during the project.
- 906 c) Penalties mechanisms (e.g. lack of quality, unreachable deadlines).
- 907 d) Poor specification of risks allocation and management (and the cost associated with
908 the transferable and retained risks).
- 909 e) Unrealistic affordability calculation (poor cash-flow estimation and unrealistic
910 assessment of the capability to attend payment commitments).
- 911 f) Possibility to re-competing contracts in regular intervals during the PPP project in TF.
- 912 g) Low demand of the service.
- 913 h) Inappropriate pricing or taxes recovery.
- 914 i) Investments in new capital assess during the contract duration.
- 915 j) Property rights payments associated to the service delivery of the PPP project in TF.
- 916 k) The use of economies of scale in any stage of the project.
- 917 l) Interest rates, taxes, inflation, discount rates, and exchange rates estimation.
- 918 m) Variable, semi-variable and fixed (direct and indirect) costs.

919

919

920 ANNEX 3: RISKS

921 The risks assessment should reflect the evaluation of potential of additional costs and the
922 consequences of each risks. When an accurate monetary evaluation of risks is made in a PPP
923 project it is easier to estimate the price that each party should be willing to pay to transfer the
924 risks from the public to the private sector and vice-versa.

925 To provide the value for risks, a probability factor is introduced using the following formula:

$$\text{Value of risks} = \text{Outcome} - \left(\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Consequences} \\ \text{of risks/risks} \\ \text{severity} \end{array} * \begin{array}{l} \text{Probability of} \\ \text{risks events} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Contingency} \\ \text{/mitigation} \\ \text{+} \\ \text{Loss of} \\ \text{revenues} \end{array} \right) \right)$$

926

927 The contract should include a comprehensive list of risks. Partners should assume the risks
928 that can handle best, and the responsibilities assumed by each partner must be agreed in the
929 contract.

930 Any risk will be calculated in terms of costs, which is named risks assessment. We calculate
931 the value of risks as the result of normal outcomes minored by the risks assessment. Thus, any
932 risk has to be associated to a probability of occurrence and a severity of the damages that any
933 risks could cause in monetary terms.

934 Also, the contract will consider ways to avoid those risks (mitigation or contingency plan, as
935 insurances, management of risks, etc.) and calculate the value of the mitigation plan. Finally,
936 it will be specified in the project for each risks the losses of revenues produced when an
937 uneven take place (because the tasks to be performed in the PPP project are not fulfilled 100%
938 when the risks occurs, and those underperformed tasks have a cost for the PPP, that must to be
939 assessed).

940 In order to evaluate the consequences of a risk in monetary terms, a risk identification and its
941 consequences analysis must be made. In a PPP project the types of risks that could occur
942 should be:

Types of risk	Risk description	Monetary consequences of risk
1. Macro economic risks (Xu et al. 2012)⁷		
Political risks	Unsecured legal framework, dispute resolution, the regulatory framework, government policy, taxation, expropriation and nationalization.	Asset costs, financial costs, interest rate costs, inflation, discount costs
Foreign exchange fluctuation	Increase of overall costs of the project by unpredictable and high changes of money value	Cost of construction and/or maintenance, cost of exchange rate insurances, less revenues
Interest rate fluctuation	Increase of financial cost during the full length of the project	Financial cost Less revenues

⁷ Xu, Y., Yang, Y., Chan, A. P.C., Yeung, J. F.Y. & Cheng, H. Identification and Allocation of Risks Associated with PPP Water Projects in China. International Journal of Strategic Property Management, 15(3) :275-294.

Types of risk	Risk description	Monetary consequences of risk
2. Construction and operation risks (Xu et al. 2012)		
Design risks	The project design is unable to meet the performance and service requirements in the output specification.	Redesign costs, construction costs and/or delay costs.
Commissioning risks	This risk appears when a license, administrative permission, or an output specifications needed is not reached	Costs from delays and maintenances
Construction risks	Delays, exceed the budget or not follow the specification	Cost of construction and/or maintenance
Operating risks	Inefficiencies in the project development and exploitation, operation cost overrun	Less revenues, maintenance costs
Project/operation changes	The project needs to be redesign and improve its construction and/or operation.	Redesign costs, construction costs and/or delay costs.
Conflicting and imperfect contract	The contract under defines tasks and responsibilities to undertake during the project	Construction and operational costs and/or delay costs. Financial risks. Less revenue.
Price change	Unexpected price increases	Construction and operational costs, and financial risks. Less revenue.
Latent defect risks	Inherent and hidden risks in the construction of the project (infrastructure, software, equipment or other)	Permission costs, delay costs, construction and maintenance costs
Technical and technological risks	The project is unable to provide a valid solution for partners and/or consumer and clients	Less revenues, maintenance costs
Residual value risks	The loss of the value of assets budgeted at the moment to transfer the contract	Financial costs
Industrial relation risks	Risk of conflict of interest management among the partners of a project	Financial costs, construction costs and/or delay costs
Data risks	Inaccurate data, data lost, or data inaccessibility	Costs from delays and maintenances
Financial risks	Funding risks	Delay costs, financial costs
Performance risks	The project is unable to reach the results defined in the contract.	Less revenues, maintenance costs
3. Government maturity risks (Xu et al. 2012)		
Government corruption	Risks of unequal decisions, lack of information and transparency, conflict of interest	Permission costs, delay costs, construction and maintenance costs. Less revenues.
Imperfect law and supervision system	Unfair competition and non transparent market	Permission costs, unexpected taxes, delay costs, construction and maintenance costs. Less revenues.
Poor public decision-making process	Immaturity of public institutions and bureaucracy processes	Permission costs, delay costs, construction and maintenance costs. Less revenues.

943

944

945

Types of risk	Risk description	Monetary consequences of risk
4. Market environment risks (Xu et al. 2012)		
Demand risks	The demand for the service or the infrastructure was overestimated and it is not used as much as expected.	Financial cost, less revenues
Environmental and social risks	Environmental externalities	Construction and maintenance costs
5. Economic viability risks (Xu et al. 2012)		
Subjective project evaluation method	Lack of methodology to evaluate mainly assets, liabilities, demand and risks.	Construction and maintenance costs. Financial cost, less revenues
Insufficient project finance supervision	Insufficient cash-flows generated, access to higher interest rates	Financial cost, less revenues

946

947

948

949 **ANNEX 4: GOVERNANCE PROCESS AND PERFORMANCE**
 950 **PROCESS**

951 Figure 4. Contract Governance: Reporting and Monitoring and Management.

	Governance body	Responsibility	Sub committees reporting	Core membership
1.1	Annual partnering board		Deal with high level relationship issues and any staffing concerns High level strategic discussion	Senior representation from Govt. dept. meets senior rep from Private sector partner others by invitation only
1.2	Quarterly contract board	Board sits on a quarterly basis to consider contractual issues including contract changes Quality management risk management performance and payments resolution	Sub Committees a) Contract Changes b) Performance and Payment Dispute Resolution c) Processes and Procedures d) Quality Management e) Exit and transfer of Assets	Public and Private Reps Service Director Legal Financial Contract Manager Commercial Users
1.3	Monthly performance board	Agree Performance report and Authorise payments to supplier	Report to Quarterly Contract Sub Committee Prepare Performance Report and calculation of payments	Commercial managers Contract Managers Service Managers
1.4	Weekly meeting	Small issues that can be quickly resolved, Report to Monthly Board on Activity	Local contract manager (meeting could be by phone) But any actions taken must be reported to Monthly Board	Service Manager

952

953

953

954 **ANNEX 5: SINGLE WINDOW SERVICES**

955 List of Services that can be provided by the Single Window:

Trading Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trading partner discovery Product Discovery services Catalogue services Quotation Services Scheduling services Ordering Services Invoicing services Dispatch Services Remittance Services
Transportation Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Booking Services Cargo pick-up Transport Billing service Cargo Tracking Partial Monopoly in ports/ airports Carry in & Carryout services Port operations Nautical services Ship Inspection Stevedore services Port Entry & Departure Transshipment operations Fumigation services Unloading and loading Tally Services Cargo Delivery workflow Billing for port handling Warehouse & port handling service Pilot and Tugging services
Regulatory Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conveyance reporting Advance Regulatory reporting Goods declaration for export Goods declaration for import Goods Release authorization Cargo Reporting of export Cargo Reporting of Import Regulatory product Certification Regulatory inspection – e.g. Veterinary Regulatory licensing services Security screening services
Technical Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Electronic Messaging Services Application to application services Business computing services webhosting services Identity management services Certifying authority services Information security services

956 ANNEX 6: COORDINATED BORDER MANAGEMENT

957

958 One Stop Border Posts (OSBP)

959 In line with all new initiatives it is important to ensure that the appropriate building blocks
960 have been put in place in order to facilitate the success of the initiative. Both PPP and One
961 Stop Border Posts (OSPBs) are relatively new initiatives in trade facilitation and, at the time
962 of writing there are relatively few practitioners who are both familiar with both PPP and
963 OSBP. This guidance assumes an understanding of OSPBs but identifies the key elements that
964 need to be considered and addressed in order to facilitate a successful PPP.

965

966 Enabling environment

967 One Stop Border Post (OSBP) operation requires a firm legal framework and involves linking
968 policy, appropriate international legal instruments, revised domestic legislation, implementing
969 regulations, together with procedures and processes, to enable the extra-territorial exercise of
970 powers, discharge of duties and application of regulations, standards and compliance / control
971 regimes. Ideally, this should all be in place prior to OSBP operations.

972 The nature of the legislative framework is critical, and will be key in determining the
973 attractiveness of a PPP to a potential PPP operator. If the OSBP is to be provided through a
974 PPP then the legislation must be drafted in such a way that explicitly states

- 975 • the identity of the contracting authority or authorities
- 976 • the scope of the service that the private sector may be asked to provide
- 977 • the specific duties to be undertaken on behalf of the public sector
- 978 • the responsibility taken by each party for different aspects of the service
- 979

980 An OSBP may require a country agency to apply regulations in the territory of another, thus
981 requiring a bi-lateral agreement, regional convention, treaty, protocol or similar act

982 (e.g. the East African Community's OSBP Act) which covers the powers of the agency
983 personnel with an 'at the border' remit, allowing the interruption of international supply
984 chains; the territorial extent of their writ; cross designation of responsibilities; the scope of the
985 arrangements; the modality of applying controls; and, possibly, risk profiling and
986 management. All of this needs to be considered in deciding the overall scope of the service
987 that may or may not be contracted out to the private sector and the extent to which the private
988 sector may have to operate in joint teams with the public officials.

989 It may be preferred to simply outsource to the private sector the underlying support services
990 and for the contract to be scoped as a Design Build Finance Operate Transfer for the
991 underlying accommodation service rather than providing for any of the front line customs
992 service and its associated ICT. Regardless of whether the private sector is providing front line
993 services it will need to have certainty and clarity regarding

- 994 • the contract itself,

- 995 • the public sector partners to the contract
- 996 • each parties obligation and responsibilities
- 997 • the payment and performance regime
- 998 • how government intervention or legislative programme could impact on its ability to
- 999 make a reasonable return
- 1000 • arrangements between third party contractors that could impact on income
- 1001

1002 Effective OSBP operation also requires appropriate institutional arrangements be put in place.
1003 These should include structures for the involvement of relevant public and private sector
1004 stakeholders in the redesign of procedures and processes, and continuous improvement
1005 thereafter (e.g. a Joint Border Post Committee) to ensure a level of sustainable buy-in and
1006 ownership of the new approach.

1007

- 1008 • The relationship between such a Joint Border Post Committee and a PPP private sector
- 1009 operator needs to be clearly articulated in the governance arrangements
- 1010 • The potential for any conflict of interest between Private sector users and PPP
- 1011 operators needs to be avoided
- 1012 • The potential for the Private sector users to apply undue pressure on the PPP service
- 1013 Provider also needs to be considered.
- 1014

1015 There should also be embedded governance structures for ‘at the border’ inter-agency
1016 cooperation, both domestically and cross-border, to build institutional trust and there needs to
1017 be a shared mission between PPP Operators, OSPB Agency personnel and sponsoring
1018 governments.

1019 As with any PPP there is a need to identify

- 1020 • strong sponsorship in each of the participating territories
- 1021 • strong political and technical desire to embed the changes made
- 1022 • An inter-agency collaborative border management model to be established
- 1023

1024 This is critical for designated trade/transport/transit corridors to be effective and to enable the
1025 improvement of trade facilitation for market integration. Specifically with regard to transit
1026 corridors the private sector will only find contracts attractive if clear decisions have been
1027 made regarding

- 1028 • the financing of road building and maintenance programmes and
- 1029 • who will be responsible for the collection, allocation and use of monies
- 1030 • the strength of mandate of the managing authority and its ability to fulfil that mandate.
- 1031 And those decisions are considered be reasonable and fair and that the private sector can
- 1032 potentially make a profit.

1033

1034 Procedures and Processes

1035 Operationally Well-implemented OSBPs constitute a new operational environment approach
1036 to border management, with combined control and facilitation activities and potentially a
1037 shared risk management and data exchange system. OSBPs assume a single framework to
1038 cover the official procedural requirements for each country - one combined set of control and
1039 facilitation activities making best use of modern technology and techniques. Governments
1040 may also agree

- 1041 • to joint operational teams,
 - 1042 • permit joint risk analysis and profiling, and/or
 - 1043 • share exchange of transactional data
- 1044 depending on the degree of integration with which they are comfortable .

1045 Moving away from the conventional approach to border management, therefore, requires
1046 adjustments to border agency procedures and processes to ‘transition’ from the ‘as is’ position
1047 to the OSBP operational environment. Business Process Redesign (BPR) is central to
1048 effective OSBP operation.

1049 Using BPR helps to analyse and harmonise data, documentation, procedures and processes of
1050 the respective border agencies for OSBP operation, particularly for electronic data
1051 transference. This can be done at the national level, or as a joint exercise between countries,
1052 potentially increasing the efficiency gains for both sides. This is something that can be done

- 1053 • prior to the introduction of the private sector
 - 1054 • As part of the process itself
 - 1055 • Subsequent to the service being outsourced (and allowing for the PPP Partner to
1056 deliver business change).
- 1057

1058 Infrastructure and Equipment

1059 Conversion of a conventional border crossing point to OSBP operation may require a certain
1060 level of investment in the physical structures and in equipping the border post appropriately
1061 (e.g. ICT, cargo handling and inspection equipment). How a border post is physically
1062 configured and equipped can help or hinder OSBP working. In particular, from a trade
1063 facilitation point of view, it is critical that, when necessary, consignments and their
1064 conveyances can be detained in a secure area without interrupting main traffic flows.

1065 Under a PPP arrangement the private sector may be invited to design build operate and
1066 maintain such a facility, (although this may not include the user facing transactional/frontline
1067 services). It needs to be agreed between the parties the extent that the private sector operator
1068 is held responsible for the design risks. The PPP may not be considered to be attractive if the
1069 extent of the design risk causes it to be held responsible for reduced governmental income, or
1070 reduced traffic flows.

1071 Another example is the configuration of office space, which can impact positively or
1072 negatively on practical, day to day, inter-agency cross-border cooperative working. When
1073 contemplating the design of the physical layout for OSBPs it is important that it should reflect
1074 the BPR process / procedures flow, not vice-versa—that is, that the functionality be
1075 determined by procedures. This would suggest that the BPR exercise should

- 1076 • Precede any PPP procurement process

- 1077 • Be part of the procurement process (unless it over complicates the procurement
1078 itself)
1079 • Follow the selection of the PPP service provider (but thereby delaying the finalisation
1080 of designs and the operational date before which the PPP service provider may receive
1081 income from services delivered).

1082 One way of mitigating this last impact would be to engage the successful PPP service
1083 provider and to undertake the BPR exercise as part of an inception phase for which they may
1084 specifically receive payment.

1085 Information and Communications Technology

1086 Border management is based on receiving, analysing, processing and sharing information.
1087 Selecting, implementing and operating the most appropriate ICT systems that also provide for
1088 wider governmental connectivity are essential to maximising efficiency and effectiveness,
1089 both domestically and internationally, between the various agencies operating at the border.
1090 This is particularly so in respect of the control zone where there are joint border operations.

1091 Ideally, the ICT required for OSBP operation should be carefully planned from the outset, and
1092 the adoption and implementation of systems should reflect revised border procedures and
1093 processes that have been simplified and harmonised, and designed to be compatible with
1094 OSBP / Joint Border Post (JBP) operation, following a preceding business process redesign
1095 exercise.

1096 Whilst it is clear that each government and agency involved must have access to data, an
1097 overarching (although possibly simple) ICT strategy needs to be agreed. For example should
1098 the PPP operator implement their own systems regardless of the ability to communicate with
1099 client agencies and governments.

1100 In terms of access to ICT system data by the cooperating agencies at OSBPs, options range
1101 from, for example, enabling read-only access to other agencies' systems by vetted staff, to
1102 more complex solutions, such as 'Single Window' and joint risk management modules,
1103 depending on the degree to which the agencies and governments involved are comfortable
1104 with cooperative working. As part of the strategy it needs to be agreed whether the PPP
1105 service provider

- 1106 • will take on some or all of the ICT services and implement its own compatible systems
- 1107 • will be required to take on some or all of the ICT services but implement systems as
1108 specified in the ICT strategy
- 1109 •

1110 or whether an existing or fourth party ICT Service Provider(s) is/are required to deliver to the
1111 ICT services at the OSBP.

1112

1113 Staffing and Capacity Building

1114 Rules of engagement and Relationship Management between the different public and private
1115 sector operators need to be devised, communicated and followed. Relevant border agency
1116 personnel (e.g. Customs, Health, Police, Forestry, Veterinary, Immigration, Standards) as well
1117 as the PPP service provider and their staff must be comfortable with the new operational
1118 approach and with working to the new procedures, processes, systems and culture. Therefore,

1119 as part of an overall change management strategy, it is important to identify and plan the
1120 capacity strengthening needs of the main stakeholders that are impacted

- 1121 • by implementing an OSBP operation and
- 1122 • contracting with the private sector to provide a range of services.

1123

1124 In the interests of sustaining and embedding change, a training and personnel development
1125 programme should be developed taking into the changed needs and responsibilities for
1126 ensuring operational delivery (eg from the public sector being a service provider to being a
1127 contract manager). Dependant on the services outsourced and local attitudes to PPP it may be
1128 necessary to tailor stakeholder education and training to fit the countries and operations
1129 concerned.

1130

1131 Payment models

1132 Regardless of whether the PPP service provider is engaged in frontline activities or not, the
1133 service provider should not be seeking to collect payment for their services directly from
1134 income collected from Users of the OSBP. This can create a perceived if not actual conflict of
1135 interest where Users of the OSBP believe that the operation of the facility is being managed in
1136 order to generate higher income for the PPP Service Provider rather than to operate an
1137 effective service on behalf of the customs services involved. Therefore where the PPP service
1138 provider may be providing Frontline/Operational (which is the more understandable term)
1139 services, it is more appropriate for the PPP service provider to hand over all receipts to the
1140 Contracting Authority and for a separate “net payment for services received” to be made back
1141 to the service provider (ie payment based on a suitably transparent and auditable performance
1142 model comprised of appropriate availability and performance elements).

1143

1144

ANNEX 7: SPECIAL LEGAL AND CONTRACTUAL CLAUSES

	Contracting Parties	This will clearly state the contracting parties which on behalf of the private sector may be in the form of a special purpose vehicle. The public sector may be an inter government agency, it is important to ensure that the legal jurisdiction that applies is articulated in the contract
2	Indemnities and gaurantees	It is normal for parent company guaranees to be sort by the Authority and indemnities to be provided
3	Services Required	The Authority Requirement (this has precedent over “Services to be Provided”
4	Services to be provided	The Service Provider’s Response
5	Payment and Performance	Contract specific negotiated Performancne Regime
6	Direct Agreements	(Agreement between the Public Sector with Funders in the event that the service provider fails and the funder has to step in to run htebusiness for a period)
7	Contract Change	Contract change mechanism that simplifies the contract change process and
8	Dispute resolution	Pre agreed process using project governance structures mediation and experts to resolve dipsutes
9	Condition Surveys	Mechanism to ensure that there is a asset status baseline at he outset ofhte contract (if the serve involves refurbishing existing assets and at the end of the ocontractto establish the need tfor any dilapidation payments to be paid, or renewal works to be undertaken by the service provider
10	Acceptance of any underlying Asset	The authority should not “accept” the underlying asset as this would suggest that the asset is of sufficient quality thereby removing the design and build rsik from the service provider. Instead a third party expert should be jointly appointed to assess that certain pre specified tests have been undetekn and that he outcome has been successful enabling the building to be occupied and the services to begin
11	Ownership of Assets	The contract should clearly state who owns the asset and on what basis
12	Ownership of Data	The conditions under which the private sector may collect, host ,share, manipulate and dispose of data must be clearly

	(ICT)	articulated. It is important that the data is also held in manner that is accessible and readable to the authority in the event that the service provider suddenly ceases to provide the service
13	Use of Data (ICT)	See above
14	Condition of Assets	any requirements associated with the condition of the asset when it is transferred (back) to the public sector
15	Public Sector Audit Rights	The authority needs to retain the right to inspect and audit all records associated with the projects/ The Service Provider should be charged with keeping the records in good order and make them easily accessible
16	Governance	A proper governance structure needs to be articulated in the contract and then adhered to, the structure should allow for simple service changes to be rapidly agreed at minimal cost, consider and agree the level of performance of the project and confirm the payments to be made
17	Exit Clauses	The contract should include specific arrangements with regard to what should happen in the event that the Service provider wishes to terminate the contract early or at term. As mentioned above the contractor may be held to certain clauses requiring the facilities to be maintained to a certain standard or have an number of years life
18	Possible clauses re transfer of staff	Depending on the jurisdiction and the nature of the service, there may be a need to transfer staff from the Authority who are already engaged in delivering the service as public employees to the private sector entity or other private sector entity.
19	Risk Schedule	A risk schedule needs to be included in the contract that clearly allocates risk to the relevant party. The schedule needs to be developed to a sufficient level of detail so that it can be used as a tool for identifying the party responsible for taking responsibility in order to rectify a problem when it occurs

- 1146 • Contacting Parties
- 1147 • Indemnities
- 1148 • Services Required
- 1149 • Services to be provided
- 1150 • Payment and Performance
- 1151 • Direct Agreements (Public Sector with Funders)
- 1152 • Contract Change

- 1153 • Dispute resolution
- 1154 • Condition Surveys
- 1155 • Acceptance of any underlying Asset
- 1156 • Ownership of Assets
- 1157 • Ownership of Data (ICT)
- 1158 • Use of Data (ICT)
- 1159 • Condition of Assets
- 1160 • Public Sector Audit Rights
- 1161 • Governance
- 1162 • Exit Clauses
- 1163 • Possible clauses re transfer of staff
- 1164 • Risk Schedule

1165

1166

1167