| UN/CEFACT Project Proposal | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Project Name: Cross-Border Management Reference Data Model | | | | |
| Date submitted: | Proposed by: | | | |

1. Project purpose

Required

Reference Data Models (RDMs) provide a comprehensive subset of the UN/CCL and its associated code lists with all the information pertinent to a sector of activity such as Buy-Ship-Pay (BSP RDM), Supply Chain Management (SCRDM) or Multi-Modal Transport (MMT RDM). Many government agencies already use data coming from these RDMs as well as other processes which are well documented in the UN/CCL. There are also many using other relevant standards for other regulatory processes. Problems of alignment may occur when trying to link UN/CEFACT RDMs and data libraries to data models of other organizations, especially for regulatory purposes.

This project aims to provide a Regulatory Reference Data Model within the UN/CEFACT semantic library in order to assist authorities to link this information to the standards of other organizations.

2. Project scope

Required

This project will consider all pertinent regulatory UN/CEFACT deliverables and will expand this base data to include all regulatory data which is publicly available – in a first step, this will be to the EU Customs Data Model and eventually the data models of other administrations which are available freely or expressly contributed to this effort.

It will be designed as a subset of the existing BSP-RDM and harmonized with the other, related sub-RDMs (SCRDM and MMT-RDM).

3. Project deliverables and 4. Exit Criteria

Required (check all that apply)

Please note that the Bureau may reassess and change a deliverable after its completion at its discretion.

| | Project deliverables | Exit Criteria | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Policy Recommendation | Public Review logs demonstrating all comments have | | |
| \boxtimes | Business Requirement Specification | been satisfactorily resolved; | | |
| | Technical Specification | Final document ready for publication. | | |
| | White Paper | | | |
| | Green Paper | | | |
| | Requirement Specification Mapping | | | |
| \boxtimes | Core Component Business Document Assembly Final document ready for publication. | | | |
| | Guidelines | | | |
| | Executive Guide | | | |
| | Brochure | | | |
| \boxtimes | Entries/alignment to the Core Component Library | | | |
| \boxtimes | XML Schema | Final deliverable ready for publication. | | |
| | UN/EDIFACT message | | | |
| | Internal UN/CEFACT Document | Final document ready for Bureau approval. | | |
| × | Other (specify): a new RDM structure in the library | Final deliverable ready for publication. | | |

| 5. Project Team membership and required functional expertise | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Membership is open to UN/CEFACT experts with broad knowledge in the area of: | Regulatory processes and data modelling | | | |
| In addition, Heads of Delegations may invite technical experts from their constituency to participate in the work. | | | | |
| Experts are expected to contribute to the work based solely on their expertise and to comply with the UN/CEFACT Code of Conduct and Ethics and the policy on Intellectual Property Rights. | | | | |
| 6. HoD support | , , , , | | | |
| Required for Technical Standards, Business Standards and UNECE Recommendations. And at the request of the UN/CEFACT Bureau. A request for HoD support will be circulated to all HoDs in these cases. If you have verbal confirmation from specific delegations of their support, please list these here. Projects that require HoD support must obtain this within 6 months of Bureau provisional approval. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 7. Geographical focus | | | | |
| The geographical focus of the project is global | | | | |
| 8. Intial contributions | | | | |
| The following contributions are submitted as part of | · | | | |
| contributions are only for consideration by the Proj | • | | | |
| additional contributions in order to ensure that as i | · | | | |
| those with expertise and a material interest in the project. It is also understood that the Project Team | | | | |
| may choose to adopt one or more of these contributions "as is". List any initial contributions: | | | | |
| Existing RDMs | | | | |
| Existing UN/CEFACT regulatory-related deliverables | | | | |
| Freely available regulatory-related data models | | | | |
| 9. Ressource requirements | | | | |
| Participants in the project shall provide resources for their own participation. The existence and functioning of the project shall not require any additional resources from the UNECE secretariat. | | | | |
| Any | | | | |
| additional | | | | |
| request: | | | | |
| 10. Proposed project leadership | | | | |
| (subject to Bureau approval) | | | | |
| Proposed: | E-mail: | | | |
| Proposed: | E-mail: | | | |
| 11. Milestones (repeat for each deliverable, if different) | | | | |
| The following are draft milestones of the project. | | | | |
| ODD C | | | | |

| | ODP Stage | Exp | ected Completion Date |
|----------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Yes | Project Inception | 1 month | |
| Yes / No | Requirements gathering | \boxtimes | 1 month |
| | | | 3 months (Very quick) |
| Yes | Draft development | | 6 months (Quick) |
| | | \boxtimes | 12 months (Normal) |

| | | | 18 months (Normal) |
|----------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| | | | 24 months (Long) |
| Yes / No | Public Draft Review | \boxtimes | 2 months |
| Yes | Project Exit | 1 month | |